

Competition in manufacturing and service content of manufactured products

Carolina Lennon¹ Robert Stehrer²

²The Vienna Institute
for International Economic Studies (wiiw)

¹Paris School of Economics (PSE) and wiiw

wiiw, December 2010

The main question

An empirical work

Does the **service content of manufactured products** increase with **competition in manufacturing**?

- Post-sales services (repairing, product's guarantee, call centers)
- Distribution (home delivery, distribution points, virtual shops, phone sales etc)
- Marketing (advertisement, brand differentiation and loyalty programs),
- Finance (credit schemes)

Main result

Positive relationship between the **service content** and **competition in manufacturing**

↑ competition in the car industry in the USA in 2001

⇒

↑ services in the car industry in the USA in 2001

The beginnings of the idea

inspired by Horn and Shy (1996) "Bundling and International Market Segmentation"

- Analytical framework
- Oligopoly game
- In which manufacturers can choose whether to bundle their products with services or not

Trade liberalization (\uparrow competition) \Rightarrow \uparrow service content.

- Since bundling products with services allows them to segment the market and to reduce competition.

\Rightarrow Losses for the firm offering only the base product

\Rightarrow Profit gains for the firm bundling its products with services

The beginnings of the idea in marketing

Marketers say:

- Product features can be easily duplicated by competitors
 - Monopolistic power eroded quickly
- The service content fosters consumers' loyalty
 - Monopolistic power lasts over time
- As competition increases, the speed with which physical features can be copied also increases, implying that the only remaining source of firms' sustainable differentiation might be the service content of their products.

Previous literature

Competition in
servicesperformance in
manufacturing
productivity
exports

- Francois and Woerz (2008), Amiti and Wei (2009) and Debaere et al. (2010).

Our work

Competition in
manufacturing
import competition
trade liberalization
concentrationUse of services in
manufacturing
input share
employment share

Baseline model

Does the service content increase with competition?

$$S_{mit}^s = \beta_0 + \beta_1 C_{mit} + FE_{...} B + \mu_{mit} \quad (1)$$

$S_{mit}^s \Rightarrow$ **service content of manufactured products**

- Service input share \Rightarrow outsourced
- Service employment share \Rightarrow in-house

$C_{mit} \Rightarrow$ **competition in the manufacturing sector**

$FE_{...} \Rightarrow$ **diff. sets of fixed effects to account for unobserved**

- Three dimensions:
 - m: manufacturing sector
 - i: country
 - t: time

Data - dependent variable

(1) Service input share

- Input-output data
 - IO data, STAN Input Output database
 - years: 1995, 2000, 2005
 - countries: 42
 - sectors: based on ISIC Rev.3, 2-digit sectors, Total (37), Manufacturing (18), and services (10).

here

- Service input share
 - ① over material inputs
 - ② over manufactured inputs
 - ③ over total input

Average annual percentage change (1995-2005)

	Value added	Gross output	Intermediate use	Household consumption
Total economy	3.7%	4.0%	4.3%	4.2%
Primary	3.1%	2.9%	4.9%	1.2%
Manufacturing	1.1%	2.4%	2.6%	3.3%
Other	4.2%	4.2%	2.4%	8.5%
Total Services	4.4%	4.9%	5.9%	4.3%

Note =at basic prices. *Countries:* Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Germany, Denmark, Spain, Estonia, Finland, France, United Kingdom, Greece, Hungary, Indonesia, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Sweden, Turkey, United States and South Africa

<i>Share in total economy</i>								
	Value added		Gross output		Intermediate use		Household consumption	
	1995	2005	1995	2005	1995	2005	1995	2005
Total economy	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Primary	3%	3%	3%	3%	7%	7%	2%	2%
Manufacturing	20%	16%	31%	27%	41%	36%	24%	22%
Other	16%	16%	16%	16%	9%	7%	4%	5%
Total Services	60%	64%	50%	54%	43%	50%	70%	71%

Countries: Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Germany, Denmark, Spain, Estonia, Finland, France, United Kingdom, Greece, Hungary, Indonesia, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Sweden, Turkey, United States and South Africa

Sectoral use of intermediate inputs by manufacturers

Type of input	Value (US dollar, million)		Growth Annual(%)	Share (%)	
	1995	2005	1995-2005	1995	2005
Total	8,564,648	11,214,361	3.0	100.0	100.0
Material inputs	5,991,546	7,651,286	2.7	70.0	68.2
Other inputs	364,614	383,699	0.6	4.3	3.4
Services inputs	2,208,488	3,179,376	4.0	25.8	28.4

Countries: Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Germany, Denmark, Spain, Estonia, Finland, France, United Kingdom, Greece, Hungary, Indonesia, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Sweden, Turkey, United States and South Africa

here

Data - dependent variable

(2) Service employment share

- Employment by occupation
 - European Labour Force Survey (EU LFS)
 - countries: EU-27 + Switzerland and Norway
 - years: 1995-2007 (though better quality since 1999)
 - occupations: ISCO 3-digit categories
 - sectors: NACE rev. 1.1, 2-digit sectors.

- Service employment share
 - ① Customer services
 - ② R&D
 - ③ Transport and logistics
 - ④ Production (for comparison)

Different measures of competition

Independent variables

- ① **Import competition** \Rightarrow $imports_{mit} / production_{mit}$
 - Imports
 - Imports
 - Imports excluding imported inputs
 - Imports destined to household consumption
- ② **Other variables, of the type C_{mit}**
 - Tariff t-1 (simple average and weighted average - WB)
 - Concentration,
 - Large firms share in sectoral production t-1 (SDBS Structural Business Statistics -OECD)
 - Number of enterprises t-1
 - Number of enterprises over manufacturing n. of enterprises t-1

Regressions and results

- ① Service input share
 - Results and robustness checks
 - Different measures of competition [here](#)
 - Different sets of FE [here](#)
 - Different samples [here](#)
 - Different definitions of import competition
 - Further analysis
 - Manufacturing sectors [here](#)
 - Service sectors [here](#)
- ② Service employment share [here](#)
- ③ Conclusions [here](#)

Table: Diff. variables of competition

	Service input over material inputs					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Beta coeff	0.253*** [0.051]	-0.846** [0.320]	-0.882** [0.335]	-0.248* [0.134]	0.127*** [0.043]	0.694** [0.304]
Constant	38.252*** [0.041]	43.840*** [2.008]	43.670*** [1.952]	64.389*** [11.688]	41.461*** [0.295]	38.676*** [1.695]
Sector FE	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Country * year FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	1910	996	996	620	707	694
R-squared	0.02	0.01	0.01	0	0	0.01
Number of groups	110	57	57	39	42	40
R-sq: overall	0.02	0.03	0.03	0	0	0.01
R-sq: within	0.02	0.01	0.01	0	0	0.01
R-sq: between	0.01	0.18	0.17	0.07	0	0.01
Rho	0.14	0.1	0.1	0.18	0.14	0.14
<hr/>						
<i>Independent var. (1) :</i>	Import compet., imports by Households					
<i>Independent var. (2) :</i>	Tariff t-1, simple average					
<i>Independent var. (3) :</i>	Tariff t-1, weighted average					
<i>Independent var. (4) :</i>	Large firms share in sectoral production t-1					
<i>Independent var. (5) :</i>	Number of enterprises (000) t-1					
<i>Independent var. (6) :</i>	Number of enterprises over manufacturing n. of enterprises t-1					

here

Different sets of FE

year	⇒	trend, global shocks
country	⇒	level of development
sector	⇒	technology
year*country	⇒	country specific business cycle shocks, relative services' prices
year*sector	⇒	sectors that are doing particularly well, diff. impact of third sector's (i.e. primary)
country*sector	⇒	country-sector specific characteristics

Errors clustered by within estimation groups

Different sets of FE

	Service input over material inputs									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Import compet.	0.257***	0.235***	0.253***	0.231***	0.238***	0.238***	0.093***	0.087***	0.235***	0.076**
(households)	[0.049]	[0.047]	[0.051]	[0.048]	[0.047]	[0.048]	[0.032]	[0.033]	[0.051]	[0.035]
Beta coeff	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.14	0.04
Obs.	1910	1910	1910	1910	1910	1910	1910	1910	1910	1910
R2	0.15	0.26	0.02	0.15	0.02	0.16	0	0.02	0.17	0.17
Sector FE		YES		YES						
Country FE	YES	YES				YES				
Year FE	YES	YES						YES		
Country*year FE			YES	YES					YES	YES
N. of groups			110	110					110	110
Sector*Year FE					YES	YES			YES	YES
N. of groups					54	54				
Country*Sector FE							YES	YES		YES
N. of groups							734	734		

Robust errors clustered. Constant estimated but not reported * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

here

Different samples

- ① **All observations - 1,900** \Rightarrow beta coeff. 0.14
- ② Excluding outliers by leverage \Rightarrow beta coeff. 0.22
- ③ 22 countries with the best data \Rightarrow beta coeff. 0.29

here

Table: Diff. samples

	Service input over material inputs		
	1	2	3
Import compet,	0.231***	0.689***	0.232***
Imports by households	[0.048]	[0.032]	[0.045]
Beta coeff	0.14	0.22	0.29
Constant	42.253***	39.154***	16.311***
	[2.456]	[1.678]	[2.005]
<i>Observations</i>	1910	1880	1112
<i>R-squared</i>	0.15	0.4	0.37
<i>Sector FE</i>	YES	YES	YES
<i>Country * year FE</i>	YES	YES	YES
<i>Number of groups</i>	110	110	62
<i>R-sq: overall</i>	0.13	0.29	0.3
<i>R-sq: within</i>	0.15	0.4	0.37
<i>R-sq: between</i>	0.04	0.03	0.05
<i>Rho</i>	0.16	0.4	0.27

Robust errors clustered by Country*year group, * significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

here

Which Sectors

- ① Manufacturing
 - Pulp, paper, paper products, printing and publishing
 - Wood and products of wood and cork
 - Textiles, textile products, leather and footwear
- ② Services
 - Other Business Activities (professional services, marketing ..)

here

Service employment share

Service employment share

Competition in
manufacturing ↑

Import competition

⇒

Service share in employment

↑ Customer services

↑ R&D

↑ Transport and logistics

Production

Table: Share in total employment

Dep. Var :		Service share in total employment						
Indep. Var :		Import comp (imports by Households)						
	all obs.				2005			
	Beta		Obs.	R-2	Beta		Obs.	R-2
	coeff.				coeff.			
Customer services	0.25	1.056***	786	0.44	0.34	1.920***	325	0.56
R&D	0.22	0.972***	1001	0.68	0.3	0.926***	400	0.71
Transport and logistics	0.09	1.027**	974	0.42	0.15	1.463***	389	0.49
Production	0.03	0.463	1034	0.68	0.04	0.896	404	0.72
Model: country, sector and year FE				Model: country and sector FE				

here

Conclusions

Positive relationship between the **service content** of manufactured goods and **competition in manufacturing**

Robust to...

- Different measures of service content
- Different measures of competition
- Different sets of FE
- Different samples

Conclusions

Need for further investigation

- Analytical framework
 - Industrial Organization: bundling, quality, advertisement.
- More work on the occupation database.
- Causality (explore other databases - WIOD)

Implications

- explanation for the increasing role played by services in national economies
- measures to increase competition do not necessarily lead to more competitive markets

Annex

Table: Countries IO (42)

High income: OECD		High income: nonOECD	Lower middle income	Upper middle income
26		3	3	10
AUS	HUN	EST	CHN	ARG
AUT	IRL	ISR	IDN	BRA
BEL	ITA	SVN	IND	CHL
CAN	JPN			MEX
CHE	KOR			POL
CZE	LUX			ROU
DEU	NLD			RUS
DNK	NOR			TUR
ESP	NZL			ZAF
FIN	PRT			TWN
FRA	SVK			
GBR	SWE			
GRC	USA			

Table: Countries IO (22)

22	
AUT	HUN
BEL	ITA
CZE	JPN
DEU	LUX
DNK	NLD
ESP	PRT
EST	ROU
FIN	SVK
FRA	SVN
GBR	TUR
GRC	TWN

Table: Countries (occupation)

Countries
(occupation)
29

AUT	IRL
BEL	ITA
BGR	LTU
CHE	LUX
CYP	LVA
CZE	MLT
DEU	NLD
DNK	NOR
ESP	POL
EST	PRT
FIN	ROU
FRA	SVK
GBR	SVN
GRC	SWE
HUN	

Table: Sectors' classification (NACE)

Manufacturing (18)	Services (10)
Food products, beverages and tobacco Textiles, textile products, leather and footwear Wood and products of wood and cork Pulp, paper, paper products, printing and publishing Coke, refined petroleum products and nuclear fuel Chemicals and chemical products Rubber and plastics products Other non-metallic mineral products Basic metals Fabricated metal products except machinery and equipment Machinery and equipment n.e.c Office, accounting and computing machinery Electrical machinery and apparatus n.e.c Radio, television and communication equipment Medical, precision and optical instruments Motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers Other transport equipment Manufacturing n.e.c; recycling	Wholesale and retail trade; repairs Hotels and restaurants Transport and storage Post and telecommunications Finance and insurance Real estate activities Renting of machinery and equipment Computer and related activities Research and development Other Business Activities

Table: Service occupations

Customer services

Business services agents and trade brokers
 Cashiers, tellers and related clerks
 Client information clerks
 Fashion and other models
 Shop, stall and market salespersons and demonstrators

R&D

Physicists, chemists and related professionals
 Mathematicians, statisticians and related professionals
 Computing professionals
 Architects, engineers and related professionals
 Life science professionals
 Health professionals (except nursing)
 Physical and engineering science technicians
 Life science technicians and related associate professional

Transport. Logistics

Ship and aircraft controllers and technicians
 Material-recording and transport clerks
 Library, mail and related clerks
 Locomotive engine drivers and related workers
 Motor vehicle drivers
 Ships' deck crews and related workers
 Transport labourers and freight handlers

- Amiti, M. and S.-J. Wei (2009, 02). Service offshoring and productivity: Evidence from the us. *The World Economy* 32(2), 203–220.
- Debaere, P., H. Grg, and H. Raff (2010, January). Greasing the wheels of international commerce: How services facilitate firms' international sourcing. Kiel Working Papers 1591, Kiel Institute for the World Economy.
- Francois, J. and J. Woerz (2008, December). Producer services, manufacturing linkages, and trade. *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade* 8(3), 199–229.
- Horn, H. and O. Shy (1996, February). "Bundling and International Market Segmentation". *International Economic Review* 37(1), 51–69.