

Gábor Hunya

Romania: boom resumed

Slight overheating, continuing structural change

Economic growth accelerated in the first quarter of 2008 contradicting widespread expectations and external uncertainties. The 8.2% growth was the fastest rate in history and second fastest in the EU after Slovakia. Although private consumption is by far the most decisive factor of growth, fixed capital formation is also increasingly important: the investment rate rose from 23% of GDP in 2005 to over 26% in 2007 and is expected to reach 30% this year. The current investment boom is broadly based and is not confined to speculative housing investments as a saturation of demand for city apartments is visible. The wiiw, the IMF, as well as the international rating agencies have repeatedly warned that Romania is in a danger zone due to overheating, but the confidence of creditors was shaken only briefly at the beginning of the year. As the central bank raised the policy interest rate and the local currency depreciated, income prospects for investors improved and the latter have resumed pumping money into the Romanian economy.

The sector with the most dynamic output growth is construction, followed by services (first of all trade and telecommunications) and manufacturing. The structural change in manufacturing continued, with shrinking output in the textile, clothing and leather industries, and expansion in the automotive sector. Also the production of communication equipments and of construction materials boomed, while that of instruments and electrical machinery fell due to still ongoing restructuring in domestically owned companies. Successful activities are all dominated by foreign subsidiaries. Due to strong FDI inflows in recent years, the share of foreign investment enterprises in manufacturing output reached 59% and in exports 75% in 2006 – as high as in Hungary, the country with the highest exposure to FDI in Central Europe, just four years earlier.

Further economic growth is hampered by labour shortages. Romania has below-replacement fertility, unemployment is low, and at least one million persons of the 12 million labour force work abroad. Their remittances increase the demand especially for housing construction and consumer durables including cars. Construction could reportedly employ an additional 300,000 people. The tight labour market is one of the driving forces of wages and the wage drift is driving inflation. In April the average net real wage was 14.9% higher than a year earlier (RON 1282 or EUR 350) but unequally distributed among industries. Wage hikes were meagre in the automotive industry and in metallurgy, thus export competitiveness could be maintained at least until recent strikes have enforced some adjustment. The highest wage growth was observed in the banking sector, in construction and trade, sectors that are booming based on domestic consumption.

Inflation a growing concern

Rising inflation is the result of excessive wage drift but also of imported energy and food prices as well as the weakening domestic currency. The industrial producer price increase accelerated as well, which foreshadows more consumer price rises in the rest of the year. A significant increase in the gas prices is expected in July, but the price of domestically produced gas will not be raised to the international level. The inflation target of the Romanian National Bank was missed last year, and this year's 3-5% target will most probably not be met either, despite monetary tightening. The central bank has raised its main interest rate five times since October 2007, to 9.75% in May, which is the highest rate in the EU. The RON/EUR rate depreciated by 9.1% compared to the first quarter of last year and stabilized in May-June at around 3.62, 3.5% above the previous year's level.

Low public debt and a well-managed public deficit remain strong features of the Romanian economy. Earlier fears of a budgetary loosening have so far not materialized, as economic growth resulted in soaring budget revenues. In addition, expenditures were curtailed by a budget rectification. If everything remains on track, the 2008 deficit can remain below 3% of GDP.

The speed of the expansion of crediting remains a concern of the central bank but its level is still not very high. At the end of April 2008, non-government credit was up by 51% in real terms year-on-year. Foreign-currency denominated loans soared twice as fast as domestic-currency denominated ones. While until October last year domestic currency loans had a higher share in new loans than forex loans, following the weakening of the Romanian leu more loans are taken in foreign currency. Loans to the private sector represent 37% of GDP (end-2007), more than twice the year 2000 level, but this share is still the lowest among the NMS. It is particularly low compared to Estonia and Latvia, which registered over 90% and proved vulnerable to a turnaround. Loans to households in per capita terms were EUR 920 as of end-2007 both in Romania and Bulgaria, only half of the level in Poland and one fifth of that in Estonia. This difference in the exposure to foreign financing may explain why a hard landing is not on the agenda in Romania, but the country is vulnerable to corrections.

The current account deficit, reaching 15% of the GDP, is another sore point. But, for the first time in the last five years, exports grew slightly faster than imports in the first quarter of 2008, year-on-year. (However, the base period marked the introduction of the EU trade regime, resulting in soaring imports.) Although FDI financed only 45% of the current account deficit – the rest relied increasingly on short-term capital –, in the longer run FDI can generate exports to improve the external balance.

No hard landing but corrections in sight

The Romanian economy continues to be in a somewhat overheated stage in 2008. The depreciation of the domestic currency and increased interest rates could not cool it down. Wage rises and inflation are too high. Also the credit boom fuels aggregate demand and increases inflation. A considerable risk of failure exists due to excessively high debt service, current account deficit and real estate prices. But, for the time being, there is no abrupt turn in sight. Investor surveys show lasting optimism despite rapidly rising land prices and construction worker shortages. The currency

has been stable for quite some time and the budget deficit is not excessive. Still we think that the next government, coming to power after the elections later this year, will have to take stabilization measures and cut back the consumption race next year. This explains our forecast of only 5% growth in 2009. The Romanian authorities are committed to joining the eurozone in 2014; especially the inflation target will be hard to achieve.



Table RO

Romania: Selected Economic Indicators

	2004	2005	2006	2007 1)	2007 1st c	2008 quarter	2008	2009 Forecas	2010 st
Population, th pers., mid-year	21673	21624	21584	21538					
Gross domestic product, RON mn, nom. ²⁾ annual change in % (real) ²⁾ GDP/capita (EUR at exchange rate) GDP/capita (EUR at PPP - wiiw)	246469 8.5 2806 7360	288176 4.2 3678 7930	344536 7.9 4529 9140	404709 6.0 5631 10000	68842 6.1	86745 8.2	474100 6.5	542600 5	621200 6
Gross industrial production annual change in % (real) Gross agricultural production annual change in % (real) Construction output total	5.3 18.1 9.2	2.0 -13.1 9.5	7.2 2.4 27.8	5.4 -18.0 33.6	7.6 29.8	5.4 32.2	6	6	7
annual change in % (real) Consumption of households, RON mn, nom. ²⁾ annual change in % (real) ²⁾ Gross fixed capital formation, RON mn, nom. ²⁾ annual change in % (real) ²⁾			233235 12.6		54311 12.4 14323 23.5	66887 15.6 21042 33.2	11 25	6	8 15
LFS - employed persons, th, avg. annual change in % Reg. employees in industry, th pers., avg. annual change in % LFS - unemployed, th pers., average LFS - unemployment rate in %, average Reg. unemployment rate in %, end of period	9157.6 -0.7 1741 -5.8 799.5 8.0 6.3	9146.6 -0.1 1672 -4.0 704.5 7.2 5.9	9313.3 1.8 1632 -2.4 728.4 7.3 5.2	9353.3 0.4 1572 -3.7 640.8 6.4 4.1	9105.9 0.9 -4.4 690.4 7.0 4.9	-3.0 -3.2 -4.2	6.0	6	6
Average gross monthly wages, RON annual change in % (real, net)	818.3 10.6	968.0 14.3	1146.0 8.9	1410.0 15.4	1286.7 14.3	1601.0 13.7		•	·
Consumer prices, % p.a. Producer prices in industry, % p.a.	11.9 19.1	9.0 10.5	6.6 11.6	4.8 8.1	3.8 9.4	8.0 14.4	8	7	5
General governm.budget, EU-def., % GDP ³⁾ Revenues Expenditures Net lending (+) / net borrowing (-) Public debt, EU-def., % of GDP ³⁾	32.4 33.6 -1.2 18.8	32.3 33.5 -1.2 15.8	33.1 35.3 -2.2 12.4	34.4 36.9 -2.5 13.0			-2.5	-3.5	-3.0
Discount rate, % p.a., end of period	18.0	7.5	8.8	7.5	8.1	9.0			•
Current account, EUR mn Current account in % of GDP Gross reserves of NB excl. gold, EUR mn Gross external debt, EUR mn Gross external debt in % of GDP FDI inflow, EUR mn	-5099 -8.4 10848 21505 34.6 5183	-6888 -8.7 16799 30914 39.4 5213	-10.4 21310 41234 40.5 9060	-16950 -14.0 25307 58797 52.4 7141	-3173 -15.6 21530 43633 1890	-3519 -15.0 25158 61067	-19000 -14.6	-21500 -14.3	-23000 -13.0
FDI outflow, EUR mn Exports of goods, BOP, EUR mn annual growth rate in % Imports of goods, BOP, EUR mn annual growth rate in % Exports of services, BOP, EUR mn annual growth rate in % Imports of services, BOP, EUR mn annual growth rate in %	56 18935 21.3 24258 24.0 2903 8.7 3116 19.4	-24 22255 17.5 30061 23.9 4102 41.3 4451 42.8	337 25850 16.2 37609 25.1 5587 36.2 5583 25.4	-45 29402 13.7 47067 25.1 7621 36.4 7388 32.3	-15 7019 12.9 10534 33.2 1892 53.1 1607 35.0	-88 7965 13.5 11828 12.3 2296 21.4 2253 40.2	100 33200 13 52700 12 9500 25 10300 40	37200 12 58000 10 11400 20 13400 30	42800 15 65000 12 13700 20 16100 20
Average exchange rate RON/USD Average exchange rate RON/EUR (ECU) Purchasing power parity RON/USD Purchasing power parity RON/EUR	4.0532 1.2994	1.4210	2.8090 3.5245 1.4678 1.7459	1.5839		2.4617 3.6892	3.65	3.6	3.5

Note: The term 'industry' refers to NACE classification C+D+E.

Source: wiiw Database incorporating national statistics; Eurostat; wiiw forecasts.

¹⁾ Preliminary. - 2) According to ESA'95 (FISIM adjusted and real change based on previous year prices). - 3) According to ESA'95, excessive deficit procedure.