

Vladimir Gligorov

Serbia: Fiscal and other worries

In Serbia, prospect for this year will depend on the measures that will be taken before the summer recess. If the intended cuts in public spending materialise, that will affect negatively this year's growth, which in any case was not projected to be much faster than 2% and may be lower now. In the medium term, persistent fiscal and current account worries will make growth depend on exports and foreign investments. Those may keep recovering slowly, but a significant speed-up of growth is not very likely.

With the change of government last year, a long-term fiscal adjustment programme was adopted, which was supposed to start with a significant correction of the fiscal deficit from around 7% of GDP in 2012 to 3.6% in 2013. About half a year after the adoption of this year's budget, the expected fiscal deficit was running at between 7% and 8% of GDP for this year. As a consequence, the government is contemplating addition measures to reduce public spending. As on previous similar occasions, various structural reforms are also being announced, which do not differ significantly from those in the past. Whether this time around the implementation will be different is hard to say.

However, the government is split several ways along ideological and interest lines. The Socialists argue against measures of fiscal austerity, especially those that would require wages and pensions to be frozen, a measure recommended by the IMF and the Fiscal Council. In addition, there are disagreements about the privatisation and incorporation of public enterprises. They have amassed significant losses and continue to add to those year after year. Giving up the control over those may, however, not be in the interest of all the parties in the governing coalition. Finally, changes in the labour law with the aim to speed up the shedding of labour is not going to be popular, especially given that the government has decided not to fire anybody until the crisis is over. Having in mind the huge decline of employment in the past few years and the expected additional reductions in this and possibly next year, this may be risking social dissatisfaction on a larger scale than it has been seen so far.

The policy strategy of the new government was to rely on export growth and support for investments. Exports have been much higher at the beginning of the year mainly, though not exclusively, due to the start of car production in the FIAT plant in Kragujevac. There is little doubt that exports will continue to post high growth rates all through the year for the same reason. Next year and beyond, that will depend on the realisation of new investments which, however, are yet to materialise; public investments are in fact set to decline as part of fiscal consolidation.

Monetary policy has not been supportive of this investment strategy. The new central bank leadership attempted to shore up their credibility by measures that stabilised the exchange rate and with attempts to strong talk the inflation rate down. That has led to real appreciation of the exchange rate, which indeed contributed, together with the high interest rate, to some slowdown of the growth of prices. This has made investments less attractive because those could make sense only if the intended production is targeting foreign markets as domestic private consumption continues to decline. Also, the stream of bad news, especially that connected with the intended fiscal consolidation, does not ensure confidence in the stability of the exchange rate. If depreciation cannot be avoided, that will have inflationary consequences and the overall investment climate will not improve.

Hopes are being centred on the start of negotiations with the EU, which may get a positive push at the end of June. It is realistic to expect that the European Council will give Serbia a positive assessment which should lead to the negotiating process to start sometime early next year. That may contribute to political stability, though social stability is another matter altogether. The wave of outward migration has been supportive of the latter, but further deterioration may prove challenging. The mobilisation is low, but that can change rather quickly in current communication circumstances.

Prospects for this year will depend on the measures that will be taken before the summer recess. If the intended cuts in public spending materialise, that will affect negatively this year's growth, which in any case was not projected to be much faster than 2% and may be lower now. In the medium term, persistent fiscal and current account worries will make growth depend on exports and foreign investments. Those may keep recovering slowly, but a significant speed-up of growth is not very likely.

Table RS

Serbia: Selected Economic Indicators

| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 ¹ | | 2013 quarter | 2013 | 2014 Forecas | 2015 st |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Population, th. pers., mid-year | 7320.8 | 7291.4 | 7160.0 | 7130.0 | - | - | 7100 | 7070 | 7040 |
| Gross domestic product, RSD bn, nom. ²⁾ | 2720.1 | 2881.9 | 3208.6 | 3386.2 | 746.8 | 850.0 | 3600 | 3900 | 4200 |
| annual change in % (real) ²⁾ | -3.5 | 1.0 | 1.6 | -1.7 | -2.7 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| GDP/capita (EUR at exchange rate) | 4000 | 3800 | 4400 | 4200 | | • | • | | |
| GDP/capita (EUR at PPP) | 8400 | 8500 | 8800 | 9000 | • | • | • | • | |
| Consumption of households, RSD bn, nom. 2) | 2143.2 | 2282.8 | 2438.2 | 2552.5 | 614.8 | | | | |
| annual change in % (real) 3) | -2.4 | | -0.8 | -2.0 | -2.3 | • | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Gross fixed capital form., RSD bn, nom. ²⁾ | 510.2 | 512.3 | 592.8 | 604.3 | 111.8 | | | | |
| annual change in % (real) 3) | -22.1 | -5.5 | 8.4 | -3.4 | 0.8 | - | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Gross industrial production ⁴⁾ | | | | | | | | | |
| annual change in % (real) | -12.6 | 2.5 | 2.1 | -2.9 | -6.2 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Gross agricultural production | | | | | 0.2 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 |
| annual change in % (real) | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | -18.0 | | | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Construction output ⁵⁾ | | | 0.0 | | · | ····· | | 0.0 | |
| annual change in % (real) | -19.7 | -7.1 | 7.7 | 4.0 | • | • | 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Employed persons, LFS, th, average ⁶⁾ | 2616.4 | 2396.2 | 2253.2 | 2228.3 | | | 2200 | 2200 | 2200 |
| annual change in % ⁶⁾ | -7.3 | | -6.0 | -1.1 | • | • | -1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Unemployed persons, LFS, th, average ⁶⁾ | 503.0 | | 671.1 | 702.7 | • | • | -1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Unemployment rate, LFS, in %, average ⁶⁾ | 16.1 | 19.2 | 23.0 | 24.0 | • | • | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Unemployment rate, reg., in %, average | 25.9 | | 23.0 | 24.0 | . 28.5 | 29.0 ^F | ^{25.0} 30.0 | 30.0 | 23.0 |
| | 20.9 | 20.7 | 27.0 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 |
| Average gross monthly wages, RSD ⁷⁾ | 44147 | 47450 | 52733 | 57430 | 54153 | 57425 | | • | · |
| annual change in % (real, net) ⁷⁾ | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 5.9 | -5.3 | | | <u> </u> |
| Consumer prices, % p.a. | 8.6 | 6.8 | 11.0 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 12.1 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Producer prices in industry, % p.a. ⁸⁾ | 5.6 | 12.7 | 14.2 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.9 | | | <u> </u> |
| General governm.budget, nat.def., % of GDP | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | 42.1 | 42.5 | 41.0 | 41.3 | • | | • | • | • |
| Expenditures | 46.6 | 47.2 | 46.0 | 47.7 | • | • | • | • | • |
| Deficit (-) / surplus (+) | -4.5 | -4.7 | -5.0 | -6.4 | • | • | -6.0 | -4.0 | -3.0 |
| Public debt, nat.def., % of GDP | 34.7 | 44.5 | 49.0 | 58.9 | | - | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |
| Central bank policy rate, % p.a., end of period 9) | 9.50 | 11.50 | 9.75 | 11.25 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Current account, EUR mn | -2084 4 | -2082.2 | -2870.0 | -3155 1 | -1175.6 | -615.3 | -2700 | -2750 | -2500 |
| Current account, % of GDP | -7.2 | | -9.1 | -10.5 | -17.0 | -8.1 | -9.0 | -9.0 | -8.0 |
| Exports of goods, BOP, EUR mn | 5977.8 | | | | 1853.7 | 2264.9 | 9700 | 10700 | 11800 |
| annual change in % | -19.4 | | 14.0 | 4.5 | -5.2 | 22.2 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Imports of goods, BOP, EUR mn | | | 13758.0 | | 3403.3 | 3410.2 | 15000 | 16100 | 17700 |
| annual change in % | -30.3 | | 13.0 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |
| Exports of services, BOP, EUR mn | 2500.0 | | 3032.2 | | 667.0 | 703.3 | 3300 | 3500 | 3700 |
| annual change in % | -8.8 | 6.7 | 13.7 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 |
| Imports of services, BOP, EUR mn | 2481.7 | | 2869.0 | 2938.8 | 638.2 | 664.2 | 3100 | 3400 | 3700 |
| annual change in % | -15.2 | | 7.8 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| FDI inflow, EUR mn | 1410.1 | 1003.1 | 1948.9 | 274.1 | -343.0 | 173.1 | 700 | 1000 | 1000 |
| FDI outflow, EUR mn | 37.6 | 143.0 | 122.0 | 42.3 | 18.5 | 1.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Gross recorder of NR, avail gold EUR ma | 10070 | | | | | | | | |
| Gross reserves of NB, excl. gold, EUR mn Gross external debt, EUR mn | 10278 | | 11497 | 10295 | 10492 | 11217 | • | • | · · · · |
| Gross external debt, % of GDP | 22487 | | 24125 | 25721 85.8 | 24068 | 36772 | • | • | • |
| | 77.7 | 84.9 | 76.7 | 85.8 | 77.6 | 87.3 | • | • | • |
| Exchange rate RSD/EUR, average | 93.94 | | 101.96 | 112.98 | 108.11 | 111.69 | 120 | 128 | 135 |
| Purchasing power parity RSD/EUR | 44.27 | 46.56 | 50.69 | 54.46 | • | | • | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Note: Gross industrial production, construction output and producer price index refer to NACE Rev. 2.

1) Preliminary. - 2) According to ESA'95 (non-observed economy partially included, real growth rates based on previous year prices). - 3) wiw estimate. - 4) Excluding arms industry. - 5) According to gross value added. - 6) From 2008 extended survey as of April and October (before October only). - 7) From 2009 including wages of employees working for sole proprietors. - 8) Domestic output prices. - 9) Two-week repo rate. Source: wiw Database incorporating national statistics. Forecasts by wiw.