

### Seminars in International Economics

### Who's afraid of automation? Examining determinants of fear of automation in six European countries 01.10.2024

Speakers: Prof. Katarzyna Śledziewska (University of Warsaw) Prof. Renata Włoch (University of Warsaw) Discussant: Nuriye Melisa Bilgin, Phd (University of Turin)



### Who's afraid of automation? Examining determinants of fear of automation in six European countries

Prof. Katarzyna Śledziewska Prof. Renata Włoch



### Who's afraid of automation? Presentation outline

- 1. Introduction to the fear of automation
- 2. Factors influencing the fear of automation
- 3. Measuring the fear of automation: results and analysis
- 4. Strategies for managing automation in organizations
- 5. Conclusions and recommendations



### Who's afraid of automation? Presentation outline

### 1. Introduction to the fear of automation

- 2. Factors influencing the fear of automation
- 3. Measuring the fear of automation: results and analysis
- 4. Strategies for managing automation in organizations
- 5. Conclusions and recommendations





**Change** often introduces **uncertainty**, and humans naturally **avoid situations** where the outcomes are unclear.

**Change** often requires a **shift in beliefs or behaviors**, which can cause cognitive dissonance—a state of mental discomfort.

People tend to avoid situations that challenge their existing mindset

New situations often require learning or adapting to new skills, and the fear of not succeeding can lead people to avoid change altogether

# Data and methods

- Used data from the Central European Social Survey, conducted using the Computer-Assisted Web Interviewing (CAWI) method
- Covered 11,000 individuals engaged in the workforce from six EU countries (Austria, Czechia, Germany, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia) with a random quota sampling procedure reflecting demographic composition by gender, age, and size of residence
- Data collection period: December 2021 to January 2022

#### **Survey questions:**

- Technology at work: Assessed through questions on
  - recent technology experience (complementary, substitutionary, no impact),
  - automation potential of job tasks,
  - job insecurity due to automation,
  - views on automation-induced mass unemployment.
- **Temporal scope:** Questions covered expectations for the next ten years to capture evolving technology impacts.



# Twofold goals of the study

### Developing the Concept of 'Fear of Automation'

We aim to contribute to the existing literature by proposing a novel conceptualization of the "fear of automation."

# • Examining the Determinants of Fear of Automation

We add to the research on what determines fear of automation by using representative survey data from approximately 7,000 workers across six European countries, analyzing how various factors like exposure to technology and labor market position influence this fear.



# **Conceptualizing Fear of Automation**

### Fear vs. Anxiety

(American Psychological Association, 2024; Mayiwar and Björklund, 2023)

- **Fear:** A response to a present, clearly identifiable threat, prompting immediate solutions.
- **Anxiety:** Oriented to a distant and diffuse threat, requiring broader and more adaptable solutions.

### **Emerging Definition of Fear of Automation**

- Perceived risk of unemployment due to automation effects Innocenti and Golin (2022)
- Response to a perceived threat of job loss because of automation Golin and Rauh (2022)
- Negative perception of how automation will shape the future of work, turning into collective angst Mulas-Granados et al. (2019)

### Technophobia and Technoparanoia

(McClure, 2017; Agogo, 2018)

 Terms like technophobia (related to computer anxiety) and technoparanoia (fear of job loss due to machines) reflect specific fears associated with technology.

### **Unified Concept**

- Merging technological fear and anxiety into fear of automation, linked with job insecurity concepts in sociology of work.
- Aligned with LeDoux's definition of fear as the conscious awareness of being in harm's way (Mobbs et al., 2019), with specific reference to the risk of job loss due to machines.



# From psychological to sociological framework

#### Fear as a Societal Construct

- Fear is shaped by cultural patterns, interactions, and societal structures (Tudor, 2003)
- Fear serves as a societal integrator, uniting individuals against common threats or recognizing shared predicaments

#### **Significance of Fear in Late Modernity**

- Fear has evolved into a significant aspect of social life in late modern societies, often seen as a response to rapid socioeconomic and cultural transformations (Bauman, 2013; Sik, 2020)
- "Liquid fear" pervasive anxiety experienced by individuals conscious of their vulnerability in a rapidly changing world (Bauman, 2013)

### **Cultural and Economic Manipulation of Fear**

- Fear of automation is exacerbated by "fear entrepreneurs" who benefit from and perpetuate a culture of fear, influencing societal views and behaviors toward technology (Furedi, 2018)
- Prominent discussions and predictions about the "end of work" due to automation (Willcocks, 2020; Rifkin, 1996; Ford, 2016)

#### **Critiques and Reassessments of Technological Fear**

- The study by Frey and Osborne (2017) claims that nearly half of all jobs were at risk, though this methodology faced considerable academic critique for its approach to analyzing job susceptibility to automation.
- Subsequent discussions have suggested that rather than replacing humans outright, technology will more likely complement human work (Autor, Levy, and Murnane, 2003; Daugherty and Wilson, 2018)



### Who's afraid of automation? Presentation outline

- 1. Introduction to the fear of automation
- 2. Factors influencing the fear of automation
- 3. Measuring the fear of automation: results and analysis
- 4. Strategies for managing automation in organizations
- 5. Conclusions and recommendations



# Linking fear to technological change

**Technological revolutions** are viewed as **direct generators** of objectified **fear**, particularly the **fear of job displacement** by intelligent machines (Furedi, 2018).

This fear is intensified by **rapid technological advancements** and the perceived **loss of control over these changes**.





# Linking fear to technological change

### **Robots Are Stealing Our Jobs**

https://www.entrepreneur.com/science-technology/robots-are-stealing-our-jobs/33246

### A hot topic in the media, often focusing on risks, fears, and anxieties.

- reports and news with predictions about job losses.

Government Office for Science

### Future Risks of Frontier Al



•IBM 7,800 jobs at the company could be replaced by generative AI in the medium term.

•BT Group – plans to slash the firm's headcount by 55,000, using tech including AI to automate up to 10,000 jobs within seven years.

considers a scenario in which increased automation disrupts the UK's workforce, leading to increased unemployment and poverty by 2030

### Why Robots Won't Steal Your Job

https://hbr.org/2021/03/why-robots-wont-steal-your-job

### ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IS COMING TO STEAL YOUR HIGH PAYING JOB, EXPERTS WARN

https://futurism.com/the-byte/artificial-intelligence-steal-job

### Al Should Augment Human Intelligence, Not Replace It

Source: https://hbr.org/2021/03/ai-should-augment-human-intelligence-not-r eplace-it

### Navigating automation and job creation Acemoglu and Restreps (2019)

- The future of work does not signify the end of employment for people, but it also does not guarantee that technological changes will always be beneficial for workers.
- If automation remains the primary source of productivity growth, the role of human labor in production may diminish.
- Crucial for further wage growth will be the creation of new tasks and technologies that enhance the intensity of human work in production processes.

urce: Acemoglu, D., Restrepo P. (2019). Automation and New Tasks: How Technology Displaces and Reinstates Labor. Journal of Economic Perspectives—Volume 33, Number 2

# Who is vulnerable to automation?



### Individuals in jobs with automatable tasks (Arntz, Gregory, and Zierahn, 2016;

Nedelkoska and Quintini, 2018)



Highly skilled workers in non-routine jobs (Autor, 2022)



### Workers in low and medium-skill jobs

(Acemoglu and Autor, 2011; Autor, Goldin, and Katz, 2020)



### **Employees in routine jobs** (Osborne and Frey)



Some studies suggest **women may** face greater risks due to their higher representation in routine jobs (Roberts et al., 2019; Blanas et al.)



Older workers and teenagers in specific job categories (Nedelkoska and Quintini, 2018)



# Automation transforms human tasks



Substitution Machine-only activities



No change Activities performed exclusively by humans



Complementarity Hybrid activities performed jointly by humans and machines

Humans complement Al Al empowers people



Source: Śledziewska, Włoch 2020



# Complementarity vs. substitutability



### Substitution Machine-only activities

 Routine tasks Autonomous decision-making Data collection, integration, processing and analysis Adherence to procedures and standards



No change Activities performed exclusively by humans

 Non-routine physical tasks Non-routine cognitive tasks Collaborate with others People management Entrepreneurship



### Complementarity Hybrid activities performed jointly by humans and machines

### enhancement

### People complete the machine

 Customized solutions
 Solving complex problems
 Critical Thinking

## Al empowers people

 Strengthening brain power
 Strengthening physical potential

Source: Śledziewska, Włoch 2020 based on on Daugherty&Wilsor

# More workers experience complementarity





# The more educated feel that technology will complete their work



# What determines fear of automation?



Vulnerability linked to less skilled and routine tasks



Influence of technology exposure



Impact of weaker market position



Demographic factors affecting fear



Education and skill level as buffers



Sense of control reduces fear



# Research hypotheses

Fear of automation is higher:

H1: if a worker has already experienced her tasks being substituted or complemented by the new technologies

H2: the more routine tasks in a given job

**H3:** the more intense workers' exposition to technology within their sector.

**H4:** the weaker is position in the labour market as defined by gender, age, education, and income.

**H5:** the lower is the sense of control over workers lives exhibit a higher fear of automation.





### Who's afraid of automation? Presentation outline

- 1. Introduction to the fear of automation
- 2. Factors influencing the fear of automation
- 3. Measuring the fear of automation: results and analysis
- 4. Strategies for managing automation in organizations
- 5. Conclusions and recommendations



# **Description of measures**

SHIW COL

Variable Name	Description		
Fear of Automation	Synthesized from responses to three questions using a 5-point Likert scale; measures concerns about job loss, task automation, and mass unemployment.		
Experience with Technology at work	Categorical variable based on whether technology at work is seen as having no impact (answer 1), complementary (answer 2), or substitutionary (answers 3 and 4).		
Routine Task Intensity (RTI)	Calculated using a formula from Goos et al. (2014) that compares the intensity of routine, abstract, and manual tasks at work, standardized across countries.		
Technology Exposure	Quantified by the number of operational industrial robots per thousand workers in each sector and country, using data from the International Federation of Robotics (IFR) and Eurostat. Measure has been stanarized.		
Locus of Control	Variable assessing the perception of personal control over life events. Based on a 7-point scale response to feeling a lack of influence over events in life; higher values indicate a more external locus of control.		

# **Results - descriptive**

The distribution of the measure of *fear* of automation

Fear of automation and the experience with technology at work

	87,5% 5 804	12,5% 830	Substitution	28,7% 228	71,3% 567
Fear of automation No Yes			Complementarity	11,4% 250	88,6% 1 937
DELOB			No impact	9,6% 352	90,4% 3 300

# **Empirical specification**

**Model Specification:** 

 $y_{i,o,s,c} = \alpha + \beta_1 Tech_experience_i + \beta_2 RTI_{o,c} + \beta_3 Tech_exposure_{s,c} + \beta_4 X_i + \varepsilon_i$ 

- $y_{i,o,s,c}$  : Fear of automation for individual *i* in occupation *o*, sector *s*, country *c*
- *Tech\_experience<sub>i</sub>* : Individual's experience with technology at work (complementary/substitutionary)
- RTI<sub>o,c</sub> : Routine Task Intensity for occupation o in country c
- *Tech\_exposure*<sub>s,c</sub> : Exposure to technology in sector s of country c
- $X_i$ : Control variables (gender, age, education, wage quintile, locus of control, country fixed effects)

### Methodology:

- OLS Regression
- Error Handling: Clustered standard errors by occupation (ISCO 1-digit, 10 clusters) and sector (NACE 1-digit, 21 clusters) to address aggregation discrepancies



# Determinants of fear of automation

Experience with tech at work (base = No impact) 0.15\*\*\* Complementary (0.02)0.64\*\*\* Substitutionary (0.03) $0.06^{***}$ **Routine Task Intensity** (0.02) $0.01^{**}$ **Technology exposure** (0.01)Gender (base = Male) Female -0.003(0.02) $-0.01^{*}$ (0.01)Age Age squared 0.0001 (0.0001)Education (base = Primary) -0.12\*\*\* Secondary (0.02)Tertiary  $-0.20^{**}$ (0.06)Wage quintile (base =  $3^{rd}$ ) 1<sup>st</sup> quintile 0.12\*\* (0.05)4<sup>th</sup> quintile  $-0.10^{**}$ (0.03)0.05\*\*\* Locus of control (0.004)3.23\*\*\* Constant (0.14)Country  $\overline{\text{FE}}$ Yes Observations 6,607  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 0.14 Adjusted  $R^2$ 0.14



Table 5. Fear of automation: OLS regression analysis

# Results

#### • H1: Supported

Workers experiencing technology changes in their job tasks, show significantly higher levels of fear of automation.

### • H2: Supported

An increase in routine tasks correlates with rising fear of automation.

#### • H3: Supported

Greater exposure to digital technologies in the workplace and sector increases fear of automation.

#### • H4: Partially supported

Younger workers, those with lower income, and less education exhibit higher fear levels. Gender shows no significant impact. Education inversely relates to fear. Wage levels show an inverse relationship with fear.

#### • H5: Supported

Higher external locus of control (feeling less influence over events) is associated with increased fear of automation



### Who's afraid of automation? Presentation outline

- 1. Introduction to the fear of automation
- 2. Factors influencing the fear of automation
- 3. Measuring the fear of automation: results and analysis
- 4. Strategies for managing automation in organizations
- 5. Conclusions and recommendations



# Discussion

#### Main contributions to literature:

- Introduced a new conceptual framework viewing fear of automation as a socially embedded economic phenomenon.
- Validated the significant role of occupational task structure and technology exposure in heightening fear of automation.
- Supported the theories of skill-biased and routine-biased technological impacts, where routine tasks increase fear due to higher automation risks.
- Found that more educated individuals and those with higher control over life events exhibit less fear of automation.

Insights for organizational and policy implementation:

- Understanding fear determinants can help leaders manage digital transformation more effectively.
- Fear can act both as a barrier and a motivator for employees to adapt to technological changes.
- Organizational strategies should address psychological impacts and encourage skill development to mitigate automation fears.





Source: DELab UW study

# Trainings: descriptive statistics



**66%** of respondents willing to engage in further training.



Age and Education: Older workers and those with vocational education less willing to train.



Most common interests:

- occupational training (39%),
- software skills (24%).



Interest in programming: **16%** higher education: **12%**.



**Gender:** Men more likely to pursue programming and software skills training.

**Technology Impact:** Workers whose tasks are complemented by technology show highest interest in training.

### Who's afraid of automation? Presentation outline

- 1. Introduction to the fear of automation
- 2. Factors influencing the fear of automation
- 3. Measuring the fear of automation: results and analysis
- 4. Strategies for managing automation in organizations
- 5. Conclusions and recommendations



# Conclusions



Training motivations shaped by fear of job loss, but **fear of technological unemployment can demotivate workers.** 



Vocationally educated and older workers less willing to engage in reskilling.



Younger workers and those with higher education more inclined to participate in training.



Organizations need to create supportive environments for lifelong learning to ensure workers adapt to technological changes.



# Thank You for Your Attention!



